

# ***Li-ion Technology and Commercial Aviation Security***

Foreword, the purpose of this short article is not to denigrate an emerging technology, to find "hazardous" alternatives that can put airlines in competition with disgruntled customers or simply to ban the use of this technology inside commercial aircraft altogether but to provide only a hypothesis and possibly warn about a potential **accentuated triggering** factor by the fact that these batteries travel by plane.

Note: Only Li-ion batteries with NMC (Nickel / Manganese / Cobalt) cathode will be mentioned because the most commonly used batteries currently concern, for example, mobile phone batteries, power banks (external chargers) and laptop batteries. That is to say, those with the highest energy density and used by a wide audience on a global scale.

For some time now, many incidents of Li-ion batteries have been reported by some airlines, especially during the flight phase.

For the sake of brevity, I will only mention the latest one known as the incident of February 25, 2024 on AirAsia flight FD188 connecting two cities in Thailand where an external charger caught fire in mid-flight in the cabin, fortunately without serious consequences for all passengers.


Of course, the flight crew is trained in this type of situation, but let's not forget that we are talking about the start of a fire in a high-altitude aircraft, in a confined space with the release of toxic gases (even if the air is constantly renewed by pressurization) but also an understandable panic movement on the part of the passengers.

Needless to say, a larger fire at high altitudes and in the middle of an ocean could take a more dramatic turn...

See LinkedIn post by Mr Lilian Chavanon concerning the latest incident on February 28, 2024: [https://www.linkedin.com/posts/lilian-chavanon\\_incendie-batteries-lithium-activity-7168512409932873728-IY1f/?utm\\_source=share&utm\\_medium=member\\_desktop](https://www.linkedin.com/posts/lilian-chavanon_incendie-batteries-lithium-activity-7168512409932873728-IY1f/?utm_source=share&utm_medium=member_desktop)

in Recherche

Accueil Réseau Offres d'emploi Messagerie Notifications



**Lilian CHAVANON**  
 Conseiller Sécurité Transport de Marchandises dangereuses - ADR IATA IMDG ADN RID - Management des risques - ICPE - NRBC - Conseiller en Radioprotection

[Voir le profil complet](#)

**Lilian CHAVANON** • 1er  
 Conseiller Sécurité Transport de Marchandises dangereuses - ADR IATA I...  
 1 sem. •

Batteries lithium : nouvel incendie d'un chargeur de batteries externe dans un avion, survenue cette fois sur un vol entre 2 villes thaïlandaises, ce dimanche 25/02

Il y a une semaine, j'évoquai l'explosion d'un chargeur externe sur un autre vol, entre les Philippines et la Chine, survenu le 19/02

Cette fois il s'agit du vol FD188 de AirAsia qui reliait Bangkok à Nakhon Si Thammarat, une ville du sud du pays. L'incendie s'est déclaré après 30 minutes de vol mais a pu être maîtrisé par l'équipage.

La réglementation du transport aérien (IATA/ OACI) spécifie les interdictions et obligations pour les bagages en soute et en cabine. Pour ce dernier cas, seules les batteries de faible puissance sont acceptées.

Le personnel est formé pour répondre à ce type d'incendie de batteries. Néanmoins, l'effet de panique des passagers, lié à la fumée, peut être bien plus préjudiciable que l'incendie de la batterie en lui-même.

Les chargeurs de batteries externes constituent la grande majorité de l'accidentologie actuelle des batteries lithium dans les avions. Et comme il s'agit de leur fonction principale, les batteries sont bien évidemment chargées à leur maximum.

Les taux de charge des batteries lithium ion est par contre limité à 30% en fret aérien.

Peut-être serait-il pertinent d'utiliser des box de sécurité pour les power banks en cabine.

Il en existe un certain nombre sur le marché mais je vois parfois des modèles pour lesquels j'émetts de très gros doutes sur leur efficacité. (ça y est , chacun va mettre son lien en commentaire vers son produit !!)

-----  
 CHAVANON Conseil  
 Conseil Sécurité Batteries Lithium  
 Stockage et expéditions  
 -----

Des questions ?  
[contact@chavanon-conseil.fr](mailto:contact@chavanon-conseil.fr)

[#incendie](#) [#batteries](#) [#lithium](#) [#IATA](#) [#aerien](#) [#SDIS](#) Merci à [Jean-philippe ROBERT](#)

As I have been involved in this issue for some time outside of my professional capacity, a serious avenue immediately caught my attention and it would be relevant to explore it in order to rule it out or not from an increased risk.

This track or hypothesis is that of the pressure difference (which we will call  $\Delta P$ ) that prevails in a pressurized aircraft cabin at cruising altitude.

## As a reminder :

"The air inside the plane is completely renewed every two or three minutes, making it even cleaner than the air in your home or office.

Pressurization systems are designed to maintain cabin pressure between **0.81 and 0.75 atm** at cruising altitude. On a typical flight, when the aircraft climbs to 10,000 meters, the interior of the cabin corresponds to an altitude between 1,800 and 2,400 meters.

You may be wondering at this point, " *Why not keep the cabin at 1 atm in order to simulate sea level pressure ?* " I am not sure The aircraft must be designed to withstand differential **pressure**, which is the difference between the air pressure inside and outside the aircraft. Exceeding the differential pressure limit is what causes a balloon to burst when it is over-inflated."

### Source

This is easily verifiable by anyone who owns, for example, a watch with a pressure sensor:



On the ground, under a normal pressure of about 1 atm (1015 hectopascals/hPa), a defective Li-ion battery whose origin may be a manufacturing defect, shock, etc. can go completely unnoticed during the entire life of use of the latter, even "borderline".

Here are a few examples of defective batteries that you can't see without dismantling them at a pressure of 1 atm :



## Swollen Portable Li- ion Phone Batteries



Crédits : [www.netcost-security.fr](http://www.netcost-security.fr)  
[lcdstore.fr](http://lcdstore.fr)



Faulty and swollen Li-ion batteries on a laptop  
(Credit: [www.assistancepc.fr](http://www.assistancepc.fr))



A faulty Li-ion battery in a mobile phone that spontaneously catches fire.

[Credit](#)

As you can see, with such defective batteries on the ground below 1015 hPa, losing 200 to 250 hPa in an airplane cabin can be the "coup de grace" for the latter.

This  $\Delta P$  is anything but insignificant and can exert a significant mechanical action on an already defective battery. It should be noted that this mechanical action can be so intense that it is even experienced by many passengers themselves, with pain in their eardrums or even in their teeth when these variations  $\Delta$  in  $P$  occur suddenly.

In conclusion, a recurrence and an increase in these incidents could occur in the very near future with the increasing number of passengers and therefore users of this technology subject to physical constraints, in particular differences in pressures inherent to aeronautics and therefore to commercial flights.

If this hypothesis were to be confirmed by experimental tests on the ground, the recommendation would be to put these batteries in small waterproof boxes under a pressure of 1 atm for the duration of the flight, which would be a lesser evil regarding a complete ban.

Another recommendation, much less restrictive for airlines and which would perhaps be a less expensive alternative, would also be to replace (or add) certain fire extinguishers for so-called "classic" fires with fire extinguishers specific to Li-ion battery fires.

The latter contain Vermiculite which is a 100% natural mineral in dispersion and aqueous form with respectively 17% Vermiculite and 83% water. This mixture is also called "AVD" for Aqueous Vermiculite Dispersion.

This AVD is "non-flammable, chemically and physically inert. It only emits steam when exposed to high temperatures, says Eric Hentgès. In addition, this natural mineral is non-toxic to people, property and the environment. This exempts it from the REACH regulation. It should be added that once dispersed, the AVD is not a conductor of electricity. »

[Source](#)

***L'article est unique et a été rédigé par un bénévole expert de chez ADESS, ayant une grande expertise dans sa thématique de prédilection. Il a accumulé une expérience professionnelle significative et des diplômes qui lui sont associés.***

**ADESS n'a aucune intention d'approuver ou d'infirmer les opinions exprimées dans les publications, qui restent la propriété de leurs auteurs :**

Anonyme – ID : 11073544

Source of the article on : [www.adess-france.fr](http://www.adess-france.fr)